

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

الحمد لله رب العالمين والصلاة والسلام على رسوله الكريم

Question: Government restrictions are in place, due to which people cannot gather in the *masājid* and perform ṣalāh. In light of this, should one perform Zuhr or Jumu'ah in one's home on Friday? If one is to perform Zuhr then should it be performed in congregation or by oneself? Additionally, when ṣalāh is performed in homes in congregation should the adhān and iqāmah be called or not? It is mentioned in the books of fiqh that the excused (ma'dhūrīn) will perform Zuhr on Friday by themselves; the reason mentioned is that a congregation of Zuhr will decrease the congregation for Jumu'ah which is contradictory to the purpose of Jumu'ah. However, this reasoning is not applicable in today's situation, so will it be permissible to perform Zuhr in congregation? !!!!

الحواب والله المستعان وعليه التكلان

According to the scenario in the question, in those areas where the conditions of *Jumu'ah* are found one must only perform *Jumu'ah*. If there is no permission to congregate in the *masājid* then it must be performed in the homes. If there are restrictions which limit large gatherings then three mature males excluding the *imām* are enough. For those individuals who cannot read the *khuṭbah*, a long *khuṭbah* is not necessary, rather, in the first *khuṭbah surah al-Fatiḥah* can be recited and in the second *khuṭbah surah al-Ikhlāṣ* and *sūrah al-'Aṣr* can be recited. If, however, three mature males are not present excluding the *imām*, nor can they gather, or if none of them can recite the *khuṭbah* and the Quran (*qirā`ah*) aloud, then *Zuhr* can be performed in congregation or in solitude, both will be permissible. However, performing ṣalāh in congregation is much more virtuous than performing ṣalāh in solitude; therefore, performing ṣalāh in congregation is better.

Some have said that performing *Jumu'ah* in homes is incorrect because the condition (*shart*) of general permission of assembly (*idhn'ām*) is not found. In reality, however, closing the door to one's home is for security purposes and not to prevent others from joining the *Jumu'ah* prayer, because if one's door remains open, then too, people would not come and join the prayer. The meaning of 'no *idhn'ām'* is when people gather in the *jāmi' masjid*, the doors are then closed and the *Jumu'ah* prayer is performed, while permission for others to join is not granted and there is no other place in the locality where *Jumu'ah* is taking place. In this situation, the *Jumu'ah* ṣalāh of those performing in the *jāmi' masjid* will not be valid, because there is no *idhn'ām*, i.e. no permission for others to join. This however, is not found when *Jumu'ah* is performed in homes, because even if one locks their doors then the *Jumu'ah* of others is not missed (because *Jumu'ah* can be performed elsewhere as well). An example of this is elucidated by the *fuqahā* who state that if *Jumu'ah* is performed after having locked the gates of a fort, and *Jumu'ah* is also performed in *masājid* outside the fort, then the *Jumu'ah* of those within the fort will be valid. Locking the gates is for the sake of the regulations of the fort and not to prevent anyone from performing *Jumu'ah*.

Observe some proofs from the books of *figh*:

قال في «الدر المختار»: والسابع الإذن العام من الإمام، وهو يحصل بفتح أبواب الجامع للواردين كافي، فلا يضر غلق باب القلعة لعدو أو لعادة قديمة. وفي «رد المحتار»: والذي يضر إنما هو منع المصلين لا منع العدو... قلت: وينبغي أن يكون محل النزاع ما إذا كانت لا تقام إلا في محل واحد، أما لو تعددت فلا، لأنه لا يتحقق التفويت كما أفاده التعليل، تأمل. («الدر المختار» مع «رد المحتار»: ٢/ ١٥٢، سعيد). وللمزيد راجع: («حاشية الطحطاوي على مراقي الفلاح»: ص ٥١٠، قديمي كتب خانه، و«مجمع الأنهر»).

Observe some $fat\bar{a}w\bar{a}$ of the $ak\bar{a}bir$ regarding performing Jumu'ah in homes, car factories and offices:

Ḥaḍrat Muftī Kifāyatullāh, may Allah ta'ālā have mercy upon him, states that it is permissible to perform *Jumu'ah* in homes. It is written in '*Kifāyat ul-Muftī*':

"Yes, it is possible to perform *Jumu'ah* in homes as well, when there is no prevention; however, one should not always perform *ṣalāh* in one's home and leave the *masjid* barren." (*Kifāyat ul-Muftī*, v.3, pg. 231, Dār al-Ishā'at).

Ḥaḍrat Thānwī, may Allah ta'ālā have mercy upon him, states that performing Jumu'ah in a government office is valid. Observe the fatwā titled "סל מול אול פיל אול של יי (i.e. "The ruling of performing Jumu'ah in a government office and a fort"):

"Idhn 'ām (general permission) is among the conditions for Jumu'ah to be valid, which means that stopping people from performing ṣalāh there should not be the motive. Rather, if preventing others from prayer is because of other necessities then that does not affect idhn 'ām. It is written in 'al-Durr al-Mukhtār':... Thus from the previously mentioned, performing Jumu'ah in this fort is permissible." (Imdād al-Fatāwā, v.1, pg.481).

Ḥaḍrat Muftī 'Azīzur Raḥmān, may Allah ta'ālā have mercy upon him, states: "It is permissible to perform *Jumu'ah* in one's home due to rains." Observe his *fatwā*, titled: "بارش کے زمانہ میں جعمہ کی نماز باہماعت گھر میں

"Leady" "The ability to perform Jumu'ah in one's home during times of rain" in 'Fatāwā Dārul 'Ulūm Deoband', v.5, pg.75, Dār al-Ishā'at. The summary of the fatwā is: Question: During the monsoon season when the masjid is far and walking is difficult what is the ruling regarding Jumu'ah? Answer: According to the muftā bihī view Jumu'ah is permissible in multiple places, therefore, if going to the masjid is difficult due to excuse of rain then it is permissible to perform Jumu'ah elsewhere with a permissible congregation, (i.e. three men other than the imām)."

Ḥaḍrat Mawlānā Zafar Aḥmad Uthmānī, may Allah ta'ālā have mercy upon him, states that if preventing people from performing *Jumu'ah* is not the purpose, rather, it is for regulation purposes then it will be permissible to perform *Jumu'ah* in a fort. (*Imdād al-Aḥkām*, v.1, pg.751).

In another place it is written that it is permissible to perform *Jumu'ah* in a car factory. (*Imdād al-Aḥkām*, v.1, pg.739). Yet in another place it is written that if *Jumu'ah* is missed by a few individuals then they can make one among them the *imām* and perform *Jumu'ah*. (*Imdād al-Aḥkām*, v.1, pg.784).

Ḥaḍrat Muftī 'Abdur Raḥīm, may Allah ta'ālā have mercy upon him, states: "If some people have not yet performed *Jumu'ah*, then they can perform it in a house." (*Fatāwā Raḥīmiyyah*, v.3, pg.421).

Ḥaḍrat Muftī 'Azīzur Raḥmān, may Allah ta'ālā have mercy upon him, stated that if one says subḥān Allāh, or $alḥamdu\ Lillāh$, or $Allāhu\ Akbar$ once in the khutbah, then that suffices. Observe what he writes in 'Fatāwā Dārul 'Ulūm Deoband: "The khutbah which is fard will be also completed by saying $subhān\ Allāh$, or $alḥamdu\ Lillāh$, or $Allāhu\ Akbar$ once. According to the Ṣāḥibayn if one recites three verses or the amount of tashahhud then the khutbah will be complete. Therefore, if one does not know one of the common khutbahs then he can suffice with the previously mentioned amount and perform Jumu'ah. In those places where Jumu'ah is wājib, i.e. in cities, and large villages then Jumu'ah should not be left out." ($Fatāwā\ Dārul$ 'Ulūm Deoband, v.5, pg. 82, Dār al-Ishā'at).

For more information see: 'Aḥsan al-Fatāwā' v.4, pg.120, and 'Fatāwā Maḥmūdiyyah' v.8, pg.184, Jāmi'ah Fārūqiyyah.

Another aspect is that regarding *idhn* 'ām being a condition (*shart*), 'Allāmah Ṭaḥṭāwī, 'Allāmah Shāmī, 'Allāmah Shurunbulālī and other *fuqahā* have stated that this is not mentioned in *zāhir al-riwāyah*, rather it is mentioned in *nādir al-riwāyah*. It is for this reason that *Imām Qudūrī* and *Ṣāḥib al-Hidāyah* did not mention it. Moreover, there are severe warnings in the *aḥādīth* for one who discards *Jumu'ah*; therefore, *Jumu'ah* in the homes should be diligently observed.

Observe what 'Allāmah Shāmī, may Allah ta'ālā be pleased with him, has written regarding idhn 'ām:

واعلم أن هذا الشرط لم يذكر في ظاهر الرواية ولذا لم يذكره في الهداية بل هو مذكور في النوادر. («فتاوي شامي»: ٢/ ١٥٢، ط: سعيد).

وقال في «مراقي الفلاح»: ولم يذكر في الهداية هذا الشرط لأنه غير مذكور في ظاهر الرواية وإنما هو رواية النوادر... («مراقي الفلاح»: ص١٩١، ط: بيروت).

قوله: الإذن العام، أي أن يأذن للناس إذنا عاما بأن لا يمنع أحدا ممن تصح منه الجمعة عن دخول الموضع الذي تصلى فيه... («فتاوى الشامي»: ١/١٥١/٢).

Indeed, if three males other than the *imām* are not present and gathering is difficult because of the law then *Zuhr* should be performed in congregation. Some scholars have written regarding the excused (*ma'dhūrīn*) that performing *Zuhr* in congregation in their homes on Friday is *makrūh*. The *'illah* (reason) for it being *makrūh* is that the congregation for *Jumu'ah* will decrease and it will seem as this action is in opposition to *Jumu'ah*. This *'illah* is not present in this situation, because there are restrictions in attending the *masājid*. Due to the *'illah* not being present, the ruling of *makrūh* will also no longer be present, and performing *Zuhr* in congregation is not only permissible but greater and more virtuous as well. Observe what 'Allāmah Sayyid Aḥmad Ṭaḥṭāwī states regarding the *'illah* of *karāhah*:

ووجه الكراهة أنها تفضي إلى تقليل جماعة الجمعة، لأنه ربما تطرق غير المعذور للاقتداء بالمعذور ولأن فيه صورة المعارضة بإقامة غيرها. («حاشية الطحطاوي على مراقى الفلاح»: ص٥٢٢، قديمي كتب خانه).

Thus, there is no apparent opposition to Jumu'ah in villages; therefore, Zuhr in congregation is permissible there without being disliked ($kar\bar{a}hah$). 'Allāmah Ṭaḥṭāwī further states:

قوله في المصر، قيد به لإخراج أهل السواد فإنه لا يكره لهم الجماعة لعدم الجمعة على أهلها فلا يلزم ما ذكر. («حاشية الطحطاوي على مراقي الفلاح»: ص٢٢٥، قديمي كتب خانه).

وفي «اللباب»: لما فيه من الإخلال بالجمعة بتقليل الجماعة وصورة المعارضة، قيدنا بالمصر لأنه لا جمعة في غيرها فلا يفضي إلى ذلك. («اللباب»: ١/ ٥٥، ط: دار الكتاب العربي).

For more information see: 'al-Nahr al-Fā'iq' and 'al-Hidāyah', v.1, pg.170, Ashrafiyah Deoband, and 'al-Durr al-Mukhtār'.

And Allah ta'ālā knows best, and to Him is the return and final abode.

Written by The Humble Servant: Muhammad Ilyas bin Afzal Sheikh, may he be pardoned.

Darul Uloom Zakariyya, South Africa.

Date: 7nd Sha'bān al-Mu'azzam, 1441 / 1st April 2020.